

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHAEL ESTRADA,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-02089-JAD-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 45]

Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to stay discovery pending resolution of motion to dismiss. Docket No. 45; *see also* Docket No. 43 (motion to dismiss). Plaintiff filed response. Docket No. 46.¹ The Court has considered the governing test, *Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013), and finds that a stay of discovery is warranted. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** the motion to stay discovery pending resolution of the motion to dismiss. In the event the underlying motion to dismiss is not granted in full, a joint status report and discovery plan must be filed within 14 days of the resolution of the motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 29, 2024

~~Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge~~

¹ The Court liberally construes the filings of *pro se* litigants. *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007). The Court construes Plaintiff's filing at Docket No. 46 as a response to both the motion to dismiss and the motion to stay discovery.